Dr. Jomes S. Phonds Apphivist of the Valted States The Mational Archives Restington, D.C. 20408

Conr Or. Phonds.

Four letter of the lith and size of the 13 proposed in the meil, Considering that the most recent of the requests responded to in your latter in two ments and 12 days old, I hope you will understand the reflected impatience.

however, becomes I did write you, I write und to acknowledge receipt of this letter one the exclosures.

Thank you for newifying no my encount named replanishing. A shock for \$25.00 is succeed.

I will go over the enclosures as agon as possible and will write further if it assess necessary. There is some confusion, some unsertainty I will meeting new.

report stributed to your agency the nemeropers of February RA, 1987, contains d the report stributed to your agency that there have a total of 60 pages relating to feerie in your sustedy, of which 10 were duclinedfied. My own thech, when Mr. Cohesen made these 10 pages swallable to me and then copied them for me disclosed three duplications. While it is possible he did not give me the identifications of those still classified, he must containly did of those doclassified and, as noted above, the press is consistent in giving a total of 40 pages. This we not a universal februarion, nor did all reporters make as indetical guess. By recollection of that conversation with Mr. Cohesen is guite clear, including where we then were. Furthermore, I credited his with this is DEWALD IN the ORIGINAL (p. 175), one re I wrote, Therion Cohesen, effections contedies of this archive, gethered for the press.

Tour second paregraph says, "The same file for Terrie, somewar, contains the following pages in Merren Cosmission Document 75 that are withhold from research;", thereafter listed. Now at your suggestion, I wated to see this file and, so I reported to you, it sos gatted. There was no reference to may of these pages in it and quite a number of pages I have on Ferrie ware not there. There was a separate folder lightlifted as these pages from CPTD. There was a repeat, two pages in it and we reference to any mithhold pages. There were, as I recall, two pages not situated them CP 7D alone.

held Ferrie documents in up 75, the pages you assmerate?

The most recent of my requeste for this information was December 94. In that latter I size eased for documents relating to Dayton Martens, Malvin Coffey and Alvin Decubers, done you say only. "The mose file for Layton Martens contains

pages 302-304 from 60 75 that are mitabeld from recentum". Fro you saying there is mything also on Marteney You make no reference to the other two. You also do not give the date of the O'Sullivan Interview.

The respect to the 234-C, I look forward to getting the entergreents and I thenk you for them. Efter escapingly them I write further. Then I can be in respirated I will phone to arrange to see the two photographs of the 394 that you have prepared but do not furnish copies of. Sitheut seeing them I do not know if I would sent copies, but If you do now the pictures elready to be, much you mind telling me the you do not furnish copies?

Your paragraph on the picture of GESON is, a Lague chronic win ten you, in the cost serious error. Long son you naked no to send you on electrostatic copy of that picture and I did. You now say it is the one you took for Dr. Sichole. I will not make an issue of your refusing to make a sony of his order eveilable, will not make an issue of your refusing to make a sony of his order eveilable, elthough I think for a muster of reasons, some of which should be obvious, some elthough I think for a muster of reasons, some of which should be obvious, some ought to, however, my writing on that picture, taken for me, under ar. changes a supervision, was in the summer of 1967. It is deted. Obviously, this content to the picture you tidn't take for Dr. Dichols until about May 26, 1968.

Your papultimate paragraph does not give the date you first make the first two Specter memorands available to rescarchers.

My request of January 4 can for the entire Suraley file. Too make no reference to this. May I seems that must you ment is the entire Suraley file?

The mane day, with report to Shand, I saked for the pitaciments relating to him indicating plus maybding class you had saids from the documents I listed. You make no reference to these attachments and durilicate the documents I told you I had, not 301: 110,320; CD1107: 1000-0.

Tenches other than 2 and 3. You sent me pages 1.4 and 5, which you and already provided, and slee sup lied must I did not mak for, pp. 6-22, which are clearly marked as a speech not by him.

Ferrie, CD 501:85-6. Unless they are the only references to Coffey and Resubscuf, which I very much coult.

you. Fertupe if there were less deliberate delay is responding to my requests, some of this masteful duplication and error eight be swelded. This your letter says it is response to a master of sine going back to last December, the fact is that is those letters I repeat requests made earlier, at thout response.

If you would like what as in I may be able to provide in straightening out the misistelling of your pictures, please let me anow.

Sincerely,

Marold Telsberg